

New Deal Debate & Historiography - 40 points

What is historiography?

The term *historiography* implies a concern for the examination of all aspects of historical scholarship, including especially the writing of history and the methods of historical research.

Historiography

The writing of **history**; *especially* : the writing of history based on the critical examination of sources, the selection of particulars from the authentic materials, and the synthesis of particulars into a narrative that will stand the test of critical methods

Task: You will write a short analytical response to answer the below focus question. This response paper is a historiography that requires you to draw on OR contrast to the scholarship of ANY of the sources you've been given. This will be worth 40 points in the assessments category. Below is a clear outline of how to effectively complete this task.

Debate

Historians debate the short and long term effects that has been made as a result of the New Deal While some argue FDR made great strides in providing for the American people, others argue that the New Deal programs have created a dependency on government that did not effectively address either the short and/or long term effects.

Focus

To what extent is the federal government responsible for the welfare and security of the individual?

In class, we began this process. You are allowed to use any of the claims created in class OR create your own. You will computers next class to finalize your response paper.

HOW TO WRITE THIS RESPONSE PAPER:

Develop the Field	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Using the given sources & opinions on the New Deal, determine a SEPARATE argument and select 1-2 other arguments that- Understand and summarize these arguments- Use the conclusions/notes from the Socratic seminar to help make sense of Will and Califano
Develop Your Central Claim	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Develop your own answer to the above focus question as your central claim. DO NOT just use the arguments of the given sources.- Be able to explain how your central claim relates to or contrast

	with the claims of given historians (only need two historians)
Introduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductions should use the following outline: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Include multiple sentences of context (time and place and key concepts in the central claim) o Identify our question- Do not directly restate the focus question but re-word as to capture the essence. o Summarize how your selected historians have answered this question o Identify your entry point into the historical discussion by way of how your central claim relates to that of the historians <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § Ex. “While Kennedy believed that....the New Deal actually...” § Ex. “Brad Wiley fails to account for...when considering this factor, the New Deal can be seen...” o Transition to your central claim o Conclude with your central claim
Sub-Claims	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • While the central claim may be stated concisely, it should be sophisticated enough in its ideas to be clearly proven through multiple subclaims (body paragraphs) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - You will write two body paragraph for this essay. - Your sub-claims must contain an argument that supports your central claim and introduces the information in the paragraph.
Evidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Meets SPARC criteria • Sources Used to Find Evidence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Use the information provided in the various readings. You can cite other historians evidence without using their argument.
Reasoning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explains how evidence supports the subclaim and in turn the central claim • Your reasoning is bolstered by the historical claims of your selected historians – think of them as your “back up dancers”. o It is required for this paper that in two of your body paragraphs you use the historical claims provided by 2 of the given historians to support/bolster your reasoning.
Body Paragraphs	<p>Body Paragraphs should use the following outline:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Sub claim that supports and advance your central claim ● Minimum of two pieces of SPARC evidence that support sub

	<p>claim/central claim..</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Reasoning - Provide an explanation as to how your evidence supports your sub claim. ● In two of your paragraphs you are to include the claims of 2 other historians.. The historian's claim/reasoning you choose to use should serve as a "back-up dancer" to the reasoning you already provided.
Conclusion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Restate our question · Summarize your central claim · Identify how your central claim relates to the ones of the historian you selected. · Explain what your central claim adds to the field, and how it enhances our understanding of the topic
Citation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Chicago style footnotes and bibliography